2021, 7(2): 29-33

Trichophyton species isolated from asymptomatic patients of the pet-owner pair in Mexico

Roberto Adame- Gomez¹, Monica Gisela Rodrigez- Romero¹, Isabel Hilario- Alejandro¹, Sandra Alheli Pineda- Rodriguez², Jeiry Toribio- Jimenez³, Elvia Rodriguez- Bataz², Arturo Ramirez- Peralta^{1*}

Article Info

Article type:

Short communication

Article History:

Received: 17 February 2021 Revised: 28 April 2021 Accepted: 31 June 2021

* Corresponding author: Ramírez- Peralta

Arturo, Microbial Pathometabolism Research Laboratory, The Autonomous University of Guerrero, Chilpancingo, México.

Email: ramirezperaltauagro@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Background and Purpose: Superficial mycoses are the fourth most common cause of disease worldwide. It is not surprising that zoonotic transmission occurs to humans due to close contact with different animals, be it companion or farm animals. Therefore, the objective of this study was to determine the presence of asymptomatic dermatophyte carriers in the owner-pet pairs, identify the most common etiologic agents, and find the likely connection between the carrier status of an owner and the presence of dermatophytes in their pets.

Materials and Methods: From May 2019 to January 2020, 21 cats and 115 dogs with their respective owners were selected for dermatophyte culture. All the dogs and cats included in the study were from the communities of southeastern Mexico. The samples were taken with a cotton swab, which was vigorously rubbed and twisted on the scalp or body of the pet four times and grown on Mycosel Agar. The isolates were identified based on macroscopic and microscopic characteristics. The prevalence of the binomial ranged from 0.73% in pet skin and human hands to 2.2% in human scalp. In humans, the agents were *Trichophyton tonsurans* and *Trichophyton verrucosum*, while in pets, a strain of *Trichophyton sp* was found.

Conclusion: Different species of dermatophytes were found in the owner/pet pairs, which denotes that coexistence is not related in asymptomatic cases.

Keywords: México, Mycoses, Pet, Pet owners

How to cite this paper

Roberto A, Mónica-Gisela RR, Isabel HA, Sandra-Alhelí PR, Jeiry TJ, Elvia RB, Arturo RP. Trichophyton Species Isolated from Asymptomatic Patients of the Pet-owner Pair in Mexico. Curr Med Mycol. 2021; 7(2): 29-33. DOI: 10.18502/cmm.7.2.7029

Introduction

ermatophytes are the most common cause of superficial fungal infections. They are a specialized group of filamentous fungi capable of infecting and degrading keratinized tissues, including skin, hair, and nail [1]. Every year, millions of people are affected by these infections worldwide [2] which cost hundreds of millions of dollars due to medications (estimates that do not consider the additional cost of medical visits and loss of time in work and school) [3].

It is not surprising that zoonotic transmission occurs to humans due to close contact with either companion or farm animals. In this regard, Cafarchia et al. have described the transmission of *Microsporum canis* from dogs and cats to their owners [4] and the presence of arthrospores in the environment in which they live [5]; however, the owners may not have symptoms.

Therefore, this study aimed to determine the presence of asymptomatic dermatophyte carriers in the owner-pet pairs, identify the most common etiologic agents, and find the likely connection between the

carrier status of an owner and the presence of dermatophytes in their pets, whether feline or canine.

Materials and Methods

From May 2019 to January 2020, 21 cats and 115 dogs with their respective owners were selected for dermatophyte culture. All the dogs and cats included in the study were from the communities of Chilpancingo, Acalmani, and Chilapa (Guerrero, southeastern Mexico) and were examined at their respective homes. The pairs lived in urban (Chilpancingo), suburban (Chilapa), and rural (Acalmani) areas. It should be mentioned that only pairs, meaning one animal one human per house, were included in this study. Furthermore, the inclusion criteria were lack of skin lesions and no history of dermatophytosis during the months before sampling.

After the informed consent was obtained from the pet owners, the socio-demographic and clinical characteristics of the pairs were collected via a questionnaire. Regarding ethical considerations, the

Copyright© 2021, Published by Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences on behalf of Iranian Society of Medical Mycology and Invasive Fungi Research Center. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY) License (http://creativecommons.org/) which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

¹ Microbial Pathometabolism Research Laboratory, The Autonomous University of Guerrero, Chilpancingo, México

²Parasitology Research Laboratory, The Autonomous University of Guerrero, Chilpancingo, México

³Molecular Microbiology and Environmental Biotechnology Laboratory, The Autonomous University of Guerrero, Chilpancingo, México

information of patients was handled according to the Helsinki declaration. The informed consent and the research were approved by the Ethics Committee of the Universidad Autonoma de Guerrero (FCQB200619).

Each pair was examined for detached hair and/or alopecia, peeling, and crusting. However, samples of the scalp or body of the pets (defined as both animal fur and fur) were taken of all pairs, regardless of whether they had clinical symptoms or not. The samples were taken with a cotton swab, which was vigorously rubbed and twisted on the scalp or body of the pets four times.

After the procedure was completed, the cotton swab was placed in 0.1% Tween. Since all the patients in the study were asymptomatic, we considered using this method as it is the least invasive and has the same reliability as the toothbrush method [6]. Fungal cultures were performed by inoculations of the samples in Petri dishes containing Mycosel agar (manufactured by BD, USA) and incubated at 28 °C for 15 days. Colonial growth in the medium was identified by species, based on its morphology and microscopic characteristics of the hyphae, macroconidia, and microconidia. If necessary, it was sub-cultured on potato dextrose agar (manufactured by BD, USA).

A database was created with the information collected from the questionnaires and the laboratory results. The frequency analysis was carried out using the Xi-square statistical test and the values of P < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results and Discussion

In total, 136 pet-owner pairs were sampled, and dermatophytes were found in 3.67% of them which reflected a total of five strains, three of which were isolated in Chilpancingo, one in Chilapa, and one in Acalmani, without statistically significant differences. Moreover, four out of the five culture-positive cases were isolated from owners (three in scalp and one in hands) and one from a pet.

According to the macroscopic and microscopic characteristics of the isolates as well as the growth on Mycosel Agar, two of the scalp isolates were identified as *Trichophyton tonsurans* and one as *T. verrucosum*. In the micro-morphology of the two remained isolates from the skin of one owner and one pet, thin hyphae and microconidia along with hyphae were identified microscopically. However, other fungal characteristic structures were not identified and thereby two isolates were reported as *Trichophyton sp*. In this sense, several dermatophytes are known which do not or poorly sporulate in culture and thereby show very limited phenotypic characteristics [7].

Regarding the characteristics of the pets, the majority of them were dogs with 81.69%, 82.5%, and 96% in Chilpancingo, Chilapa, and Amalcami respectively. As for family pet-owner coexistence, patients shared their pillows with their pets more frequently in Chilpancingo (88.3%), compared to the other locations (65% in Chilapa and 68% in Acalmani)

(P=0.010). Regarding their behaviors as pairs, in Chilpancingo, 18.31% of owners and pets always slept together, while in Chilapa, 92.5% of the pairs never did (P=0.001). Moreover, 38.03%, 12.5%, and 12% of the pet owners in Chilpancingo, Chilapa, and Acalmani always fed their pets, respectively (P=0.001). It was also observed that 78.8%, 95%, and 32% of the pets in Chilpancingo, Chilapa, Acalmani were not taken to public parks, respectively (P=0.001) (Table 1). The prevalence of the pairs was 3.67% based on the sample type and ranged from 0.73% in the skin of pets and owners to 2.2% in the scalp of the owners. In this study, it was decided to take a sample from these parts of the body in pet owners due to their common contact with pets, both at the time of coexistence and while practicing hygiene habits.

Even though the same dermatophytes were not found on the skin of pets and the scalp and hands of pet owners, it is important to describe the collected data. In a study performed by Cafarchia et al., the prevalence rates of dermatophytes in cats and dogs were found to be 28.2% and 20.5% respectively, while in our investigation this prevalence rate was lower. The likely explanation for such a significant difference could be the low number of sampled cats (n=21) in the present study. It could also be due to the inclusion of two groups in the study conducted by Cafarchia et al. [4], in one of which, the owners were diagnosed with dermatophytosis, while in the present study, all the pets were asymptomatic.

In addition, the prevalence is commonly high in owner-pet pairs that include symptomatic cases [8]. A high presence of contaminated surfaces has even been reported in households where there are pets with signs and symptoms [9]. The low prevalence rate of dermatophytes in the present study could be explained by the lack of symptomatic cases in our population and the low number of sampled cats. It should be noted that based on previous studies, cats play an important role as asymptomatic carriers in the transmission of dermatophytes [10].

In another study, Debnath et al. found that 20.93% of healthy dogs were positive for dermatophytes; however, they described that the age of the dogs and the season of the year can influence the frequency of dermatophytes [11]. Regarding this last point, all the seasons of the year were not considered in the present research.

Regarding hand samples, there is little information available about the patients without apparent symptoms. However, such asymptomatic lesions could probably be related to the low virulence of the strains existing in these lesions, for which enzymatic profiles of dermatophytes strains recovered from these infections could even be tested as previously described (keratinases, gelatinases, proteases, and lipases) [12].

Likewise, the development of infection in the owner can be attributed to the characteristics of the petowner interaction and the duration of their contact [2]. Nevertheless, asymptomatic scalp infection by dermatophytes in humans (as asymptomatic carriers),

Table 1. Data of pet-owners

Variable	Chilpancingo N=71	Chilapa de Álvarez N=40	Acalmani N=25	P
	%(n/N)	%(n/N)	%(n/N)	
Pet characteristics				
Family				
Canidae	81.69 (58/71)	82.50(33/40)	96.00 (24/25)	0.214
Felidae	18.31 (13/71)	17.50(7/40)	4.00 (1/25)	
Gender				
Male	45.07 (32/71)	50.00 (20/40)	44.00 (11/25)	0.854
Female	54.93 (39/71)	50.00 (20/40)	56.00 (14/25)	0.834
Family environment of the p	oet owners			
Pillow sharing				
Never	5.63 (4/71)	30.00 (12/40)	12.00 (3/25)	
Rarely	1.41 (1/71)	0.00 (0/40)	8.00 (2/25)	
Occasionally	1.41 (1/71)	0.00 (0/40)	4.00 (1/25)	0.01
Often	4.23 (3/71)	5.00 (2/40)	8.00 (2/25)	
Always	87.32 (62/71)	65.00 (26/40)	68.00 (17/25)	
Pet-owner interaction				
Sleeping with pets				
Never	54.93 (39/71)	92.50 (37/40)	36.00 (9/25)	
Rarely	11.27 (8/71)	7.50 (3/40)	48.00 (12/25)	
Occasionally	4.23 (3/71)	0.00 (0/40)	8.00 (2/25)	0.001
Often	11.27 (8/71)	0.00 (0/40)	8.00 (2/25)	
Always	18.31 (13/71)	0.00 (0/40)	0.00 (0/25)	
Pet food intake				
Never	19.72 (14/71)	75.00 (30/40)	48.00 (12/25)	
Rarely	7.04 (5/71)	7.50 (3/40)	4.00 (1/25)	
Occasionally	18.31 (13/71)	2.50 (1/40)	24.00 (6/25)	0.001
Often	16.90 (12/71)	2.50 (1/40)	12.00 (3/25)	
Every day	38.03 (27/71)	12.50 (5/40)	12.00 (3/25)	
Visits to parks				
Never	78.87 (56/71)	95.00 (38/40)	32.00 (8/125)	
Rarely	11.27 (8/71)	0.00 (0/40)	48.00 (12/25)	
Occasionally	1.41 (1/71)	2.50 (1/40)	8.00 (2/25)	0.001
Often	5.63 (4/71)	0.00 (0/40)	0.00 (0/25)	
Every day	2.82 (2/71)	2.50 (1/40)	12.00 (3/25)	

which plays an important role in zoonotic transmission, is well known [13, 14, 15]. They are commonly found in children and places with a high prevalence of tinea capitis [16]. Such prevalence in this study was within the reported range from 0.1 to 49% [17,18]. With an even lower prevalence rate in pets and different dermatophytes, other factors could be considered favoring the presence of dermatophytes in pet owners.

In this study, it was found that the three studied populations had different hygiene habits and lifestyles based on their residence location, namely locality urban (Chilpancingo), peri-urban (Chilapa), and rural (Acalmani) areas. One example of this would be the sharing of pillows; while the pet always slept with the owner in 18.3% of cases in Chilpancingo, this activity was not common in other communities (P= 0.001). Therefore, it could not be the factor favoring the appearance of asymptomatic dermatophyte carriers.

Even though higher percentages of owners who fed their pet with formulated pet food and had a low number of visits to the park were observed in Chilpancingo, dermatophyte was found among them. This could be related to constant pet grooming due to the close coexistence with the owner (in all the surveyed pairs the pet lived inside the house and at least 45% of the pairs slept together).

Although the presence of dermatophytes on the scalp of apparently healthy individuals may, in some cases, be a temporary event, it is reasonable to assume that asymptomatic carriers play a role in the spread and persistence of scalp ringworm in the community [18]. These people could be the source by which dermatophytes are transferred as fungal agents to others via clothes or in public places, such as schools and gyms [19, 20].

Regarding etiology, the presence of *T. tonsurans* was determined in asymptomatic carriers. In this sense, the etiological agent has been found as specific to the asymptomatic carriers [13,14]. In addition, *T. tonsurans* has been reported to have displaced almost all the species of dermatophytes that cause tinea capitis in North America [14,21]. This microorganism has recovered with an increased frequency in infections in European and East Asian countries [22–24]. Furthermore, *T. tonsurans* plays a central role in symptomatic disease and can be recovered from hosts without symptoms (asymptomatic carriers) at high rates, comparable to the rates observed in patients with clinical infection [20,25,26,27] which is consistent with the findings of this study.

It should be noted that *T. verrucosum* has also been found in asymptomatic carriers of Tinea capitis and is considered an important etiologic agent [18,28,29] in addition to others, such as *M. audouinii* [15]. In contrast, zoophilic microorganisms, such as *M. canis* or *T. mentagrophytes*, are usually present with a symptomatic inflammatory response and are less frequently associated with a carrier status [13,14,30].

It is noteworthy that two slow-growing filamentous fungi were isolated from the skin of pets and scalp of a patient on Mycosel agar with short hyphae and the presence of microconidia. However, we were unable to observe other structures, such as spiral or tendril hyphae, cigar-shaped macroconidia, or colony pigmentation; hence, they were only defined as *Trichophyton sp*.

Conclusion

Lifestyle, which is different in various localities (populations), can help the development of carrier state in people who have notable contact with pets. Isolation of different dermatophyte species from the skin of pet/owner pairs in this study denoted an asymptomatic carrier state in humans that cannot be related to the coexistence of the same isolate in the pets.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to appreciate Lilia Lizette García Díaz (biotechnology) and Alberto Patricio Hernández (chemistry) for their support in the conservation of strains and all owners and their pets.

Authors' contribution

Conceptualization: A.R.P. and R.A.G; methodology: M.G.R.R. and I.H.A; supervision: A.R.P.; statistical analysis: S.A.P.R and E.R.B; investigation: R.A.G and A.R.P; writing and original draft preparation: A.R.P., R.A.G, and J.T.J. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest related to this study.

Funding

This research was not funded by any program.

Financial disclosure

No financial interests related to the material of this manuscript have been declared.

References

- Borman AM, Summerbell RC. Trichophyton, Microsporum, Epidermo-phyton, and agents of superficial mycoses. In: Jorgensen JH, Pfaller MA, Carroll KC, Funke G, Landry ML, Richter SS, Warnock DW, eds. Manual Of Clinical Microbiology, vol 2. Washington, DC: ASM Press, 2015: 2128–2152.
- Gnat S, Nowakiewicz A, Łagowski D, Zięba P. Host- and pathogen-dependent susceptibility and predisposition to dermatophytosis. J Med Microbiol. 2019; 68: 823–836. doi: 10.1099/jmm.0.000982.
- Drake LA, Dinehart SM, Farmer ER, Goltz RW, Graham GF, Hordinsky MK et al. Guidelines of care for superficial mycotic infections of the skin: Tinea corporis, tinea cruris, tinea faciei, tinea manuum, and tinea pedis. J Am Acad Dermatol. 1996; 34: 282–286. doi: 10.1016/S0190-9622(96)80135-6.
- Cafarchia C, Romito D, Capelli G, Guillot J, Otranto D. Isolation of *Microsporum canis* from the hair coat of pet dogs and cats belonging to owners diagnosed with *M. canis* tinea corporis. Vet Dermatol. 2006; 17: 327–331. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3164.2006.00533.x.
- Mancianti F, Nardoni S, Corazza M, D'Achille P, Ponticelli C. Environmental detection of *Microsporum canis* arthrospores in the households of infected cats and dogs. J Feline Med Surg.

- 2003: 5: 323-28. doi: 10.1016/S1098-612X(03)00071-8.
- Akbaba M, Ilkit M, Sutoluk Z, Ates A, Zorba H. Comparison of hairbrush, toothbrush and cotton swab methods for diagnosing asymptomatic dermatophyte scalp carriage. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. 2008; 22(3):356-62. doi: 10.1111/j.1468-3083.2007.02442.x. PMID: 18269603.
- 7. de Hoog GS, Dukik K, Monod M, Packeu A, Stubbe D, Hendrickx M, *et al.* Toward a Novel Multilocus Phylogenetic Taxonomy for the Dermatophytes. Mycopathologia. 2017; 182:5-7. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11046-016-0073-9
- 8. Murmu S, Debnath C, Pramanik AK, Mitra T, Jana S, Dey S, *et al.* Detection and characterization of zoonotic dermatophytes from dogs and cats in and around Kolkata. Vet World. 2015; 8(9):1078-82. doi: 10.14202/vetworld.2015.1078-1082.
- da Cunha MM, Capote-Bonato F, Capoci IRG, Bonato DV, Ghizzi LG, Paiva-Lima P, et al. Epidemiological investigation and molecular typing of dermatophytosis caused by Microsporum canis in dogs and cats. Prev Vet Med. 2019; 167:39-45. doi: 10.1016/j.prevetmed.2019.03.019.
- 10. Pier AC, Moriello KA. Parasitic relationship between *Microsporum canis* and the cat. Med Mycol. 1998; 36(1): 271–275
- 11. Debnath C, Mitra T, Kumar A, Samanta I. Detection of dermatophytes in healthy companion dogs and cats in eastern India. Iran j vet res. 2016; 17(1), 20–24.
- Gnat S, Łagowski D, Nowakiewicz A, Zięba P. Phenotypic characterization of enzymatic activity of clinical dermatophyte isolates from animals with and without skin lesions and humans. J Appl Microbiol. 2018; 125: 700–709. doi: 10.1111/jam.13921.
- Elewski BE. Tinea capitis: A current perspective. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2000; 42: 1–20. doi: 10.1016/S0190-9622(00)90001-X.
- Gupta AK, Summerbell RC. Increased incidence of Trichophyton tonsurans tinea capitis in Ontario, Canada between 1985 and 1996: Trichophyton tonsurans tinea capitis. Med Mycol. 2008; 36: 55–60. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-280X.1998.00129.x.
- Ilkit M, Demirhindi H. Asymptomatic dermatophyte scalp carriage: laboratory diagnosis, epidemiology and management. Mycopathologia. 2008; 165: 61–71. doi: 10.1007/s11046-007-9081-0.
- Allahdadi M, Hajihossein R, Kord M, Rahmati E, Amanloo S, Didehdar M. Molecular characterization and antifungal susceptibility profile of dermatophytes isolated from scalp dermatophyte carriage in primary school children in Arak city, Center of Iran. J Mycolo Méd. 2019; 29: 19–23. doi: 10.1016/j.mycmed.2019.01.002.
- Mhrenschlager M, Bruckbauer H, Seidl HP, Ring J, Hofmann H. Prevalence of Asymptomatic Carriers and Cases of Tinea Capitis in Five to Six-Year-Old Preschool Children From Augsburg, Germany: Results From the Miriam Study. Pediatr Infect Dis J 2005; 24: 749–50. doi: 10.1097/01.inf.0000172909.73601.dc.
- Neil G, Hanslo D, Buccimazza S, Kibel M. Control of the carrier state of scalp dermatophytes. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 1990; 9: 57. doi: 10.1097/00006454-199001000-00013.
- Ilkit M, Demirhindi H, Yetgin M, Ates A, Turaç-Biçer A, Yula E. Asymptomatic dermatophyte scalp carriage in school children in Adana, Turkey. Mycoses. 2007; 50: 130–34. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0507.2006.01335.x.
- Vargo K, Cohen BA. Prevalence of undetected tinea capitis in household members of children with disease. Pediatrics. 1993; 92: 155–57.
- Foster KW, Ghannoum MA, Elewski BE. Epidemiologic surveillance of cutaneous fungal infection in the United States from 1999 to 2002. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2004; 50: 748–52. doi: 10.1016/S0190-9622(03)02117-0.
- 22. Hay RJ, Clayton YM, De Silva N, Midgley G, Rossor E. Tinea capitis in south-east London a new pattern of infection with public health implications. Br J Dermatol.1996; 135: 955–58. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2133.1996.d01-1101.x.
- Nishimoto K, Honma K, Shinoda H, Ogasawara Y. Survey of Trichophyton tonsurans Infection in the Kyushu, Chugoku and Shikoku Areas of Japan. Jpn J Med Mycol. 2005; 46: 105–08. doi: 10.3314/jjmm.46.105.
- 24. Poisson DM, Rousseau D, Defo D, Estève E. Outbreak of tinea

- corporis gladiatorum, a fungal skin infection due to *Trichophyton tonsurans*, in a French high level judo team. Euro Surveill. 2005; 10: 187–190.
- Ghannoum M, Isham N, Hajjeh R, Cano M, Al-Hasawi F, Yearicka D et al. Tinea capitis in Cleveland: Survey of elementary school students. J Am Acad Dermatolo. 2003; 48: 189–93.doi: 10.1067/mjd.2003.109.
- Sharma V, Hall JC, Knapp JF, Sarai S, Galloway D, Babel DE. Scalp colonization by *Trichophyton tonsurans* in an urban pediatric clinic? Asymptomatic carrier state. Arch Dermatol. 1988; 124: 1511–13.
- 27. Williams JV, Honig PJ, McGinley KJ, Leyden JJ. Semiquantitative study of tinea capitis and the asymptomatic carrier state in inner-

- city school children. Pediatrics. 1995; 96: 265-67.
- 28. Figueroa JI, Hawranek T, Abraha A, Hay RJ. Tinea capitis in south-western Ethiopia: a study of risk factors for infection and carriage. Int J Dermatol. 1997; 36: 661–66. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-4362.1997.00236.x.
- Omar AA. Ringworm of the scalp in primary-school children in Alexandria: infection and carriage. East Mediterr Health J. 2000; 6: 961–967.
- Polonelli L, Garcovich A, Morace G. Dermatophyte Carriers among School Children Dermatophyten-Träger unter Schulkindern. Mycoses. 1982; 25: 254–57. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0507.1982. tb02751.x.